arXiv:1203.4021v1 [math.SP] 19 Mar 2012

EIGENVALUE ESTIMATES FOR A THREE-DIMENSIONAL MAGNETIC SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR

BERNARD HELFFER AND YURI A. KORDYUKOV

Abstract. We consider a magnetic Schrödinger operator $H^h = (-ih\nabla - \vec{A})^2$ with the Dirichlet boundary conditions in an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, where $h > 0$ is a small parameter. We suppose that the minimal value b_0 of the module $|\vec{B}|$ of the vector magnetic field $\vec{B}$ is strictly positive, and there exists a unique minimum point of $|\vec{B}|$, which is non-degenerate. The main result of the paper is upper estimates for the low-lying eigenvalues of the operator H^h in the semiclassical limit. We also prove the existence of an arbitrary large number of spectral gaps in the semiclassical limit in the corresponding periodic setting.

1. Preliminaries and main results

1.1. **Main assumptions.** We would like to analyze the asymptotic behavior, in the semiclassical regime, of the low-lying eigenvalues of the Dirichlet realization of the magnetic Schrödinger operator in an open set Ω in $\mathbb{R}^3$:

$$H^h = (hD_{X_1} - A_1(X))^2 + (hD_{X_2} - A_2(X))^2 + (hD_{X_3} - A_3(X))^2 \,,$$

where $\vec{A} = (A_1, A_2, A_3) \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ is a magnetic potential and $h > 0$ is a small parameter. We will denote the coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^3$ as $X = (X_1, X_2, X_3) = (x, y, z)\,$. Let $\vec{B} = \operatorname{rot} \vec{A} = (B_1, B_2, B_3)$ be the corresponding vector magnetic field:

$$B_1 = \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial z}\,, \quad B_2 = \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial A_3}{\partial x}\,, \quad B_3 = \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A_1}{\partial y}\,.$$

Put

$$b_0 = \min\{|\vec{B}(X)| \,:\, X \in \Omega\}.$$

We assume that there exist a (connected) bounded domain $\Omega_1 \subset\subset \Omega$ and a constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$|\vec{B}(X)| \geq b_0 + \epsilon_0, \quad x \notin \Omega_1\,. \tag{1.1}$$

We also assume that:

$$b_0 > 0\,, \tag{1.2}$$

and that there exists a unique minimum $X_0 \in \Omega$ such that $|\vec{B}(X_0)| = b_0$, which is non-degenerate: in some neighborhood of X_0

$$C^{-1}|X - X_0|^2 \leq |\vec{B}(X)| - b_0 \leq C|X - X_0|^2\,. \tag{1.3}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35P20, 35J10, 47F05, 81Q10.

Key words and phrases. magnetic Schrödinger operator, eigenvalue asymptotics, magnetic wells, semiclassical limit, spectral gaps.

Y.K. is partially supported by the Russian Foundation of Basic Research (grants 09-01-00389 and 12-01-00519).

1.2. **Main statement.**

Denote

$$d = \det \mathrm{Hess}\, |\vec{B}|(X_0)\,, \quad a = \frac{1}{2b_0^2}(\mathrm{Hess}|\vec{B}|\,\vec{B}\cdot\vec{B})(X_0)\,.$$

Denote by $\lambda_0(H^h) \leq \lambda_1(H^h) \leq \lambda_2(H^h) \leq \ldots$ the eigenvalues of the operator H^h.

Theorem 1.1. *Under current assumptions, for any natural m, there exist $C_m > 0$ and $h_m > 0$ such that, for any $h \in (0, h_m]$,*

$$\lambda_m(H^h) \leq hb_0 + h^{3/2}a^{1/2} + h^2\left[\frac{1}{2b_0}\left(\frac{d}{2a}\right)^{1/2}(2m+1) + \nu\right] + C_m h^{9/4}\,,$$

where ν is some explicit constant (which means that it is given by a rather complicated explicit formula).

Theorem 1.1 will be based on a construction of quasimodes.

Theorem 1.2. *Under current assumptions, for any natural j, k and m, there exist $\phi^h_{j,k,m} \in C^\infty_c(\Omega)$, $C_{j,k,m} > 0$ and $h_{j,k,m} > 0$ such that*

$$(\phi^h_{j_1,k_1,m_1}, \phi^h_{j_2,k_2,m_2}) = \delta_{j_1j_2}\delta_{k_1k_2}\delta_{m_1m_2} + \mathcal{O}_{j_1,k_1,m_1,j_2,k_2,m_2}(h)\,, \tag{1.4}$$

and, for any $h \in (0, h_{j,k,m}]$,

$$\|H^h\phi^h_{j,k,m} - \mu^h_{j,k,m}\phi^h_{j,k,m}\| \leq C_{j,k,m}\, h^{\frac{9}{4}}\,\|\phi^h_{j,k,m}\|, \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$\mu^h_{j,k,m} = \mu_{j,k,m,0}h + \mu_{j,k,m,2}h^{\frac{3}{2}} + \mu_{j,k,m,4}h^2\,.$$

with

$$\mu_{j,k,m,0} = (2k+1)b_0\,, \quad \mu_{j,k,m,2} = (2j+1)(2k+1)^{1/2}a^{1/2}\,,$$

and

$$\mu_{j,k,m,4} = \frac{1}{2b_0}\left(\frac{d}{2a}\right)^{1/2}(2m+1)(2k+1) + \nu(j,k)\,,$$

where $\nu(j,k)$ has the form

$$\nu(j,k) = \nu_{22}(2k+1)^2 + \nu_{11}(2j+1)^2 + \nu_0$$

with some explicit constants $\nu_0, \nu_{11}, \nu_{22}$.

Remark 1.

It is conjectured that

$$\lambda_m(H^h) \geq hb_0 + h^{3/2}a^{1/2} + h^2\left[\frac{1}{2b_0}\left(\frac{d}{2a}\right)^{1/2}(2m+1) + \nu\right] - C_m h^{9/4}\,.$$

1.3. **History of the problem.**

May be at the level of the mathematical problem, the starting reference for the spectral analysis of self-adjoint realizations of the magnetic Schrödinger operator is the paper by Avron-Herbst-Simon [1] where the role of the module of the magnetic field in the three-dimensional case appears for the first time. Further investigations were inspired by R. Montgomery [14], who was asking “Can we hear the locus of the magnetic field” (by analogy with the celebrated question by M. Kac). In [14], this question was studied for the two-dimensional magnetic Schrödinger operator. Motivated by the question of R. Montgomery, the first author and Mohamed in [12] investigated the asymptotic behavior of the low-lying eigenvalues of the Dirichlet realization of the magnetic Schrödinger operator in the case when the magnetic field vanishes. This study was continued more recently in [15, 7, 9, 3] (see also [8]). The case when the magnetic field never vanishes was analyzed in detail for the Dirichlet realization in the two-dimensional case in [13] and more recently in [10, 11]. Moreover, there is a big literature devoted to the spectral analysis of the Neumann realization because of its connection with problems in superconductivity (see [4] and the references therein). Finally, we do not also give a complete description of the semi-classical results obtained in the case when an electric potential V is creating the main localization and refer to [5] and [2] for a presentation and references therein.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we make some simplifications of the operator H^h, using linear changes of variables and gauge transformations, and present the normal form appearing in the generic situation. Section 3 is devoted to the analysis of the action of the metaplectic group on our models and to the introduction of a suitable rescaling of the problem. In Section 4 we construct approximate eigenfunctions of the operator H^h, completing the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 5 we consider the case when the magnetic field is periodic. We combine the constructions of approximate eigenfunctions given in Sections 4 with the results of [6] to prove the existence of arbitrary large number of gaps in the spectrum of the periodic operator H^h in the semiclassical limit.

2. Preliminaries for the proof: Normal form

In this section, we make some simplifications of the operator H^h, using linear changes of variables and gauge transformations.

Without loss of generality we will assume that $X_0 = (0,0,0)$ and the magnetic field $\vec{B}$ at X_0 is $(0,0,b_0)$. Thus, we can write the Taylor expansion up to order 4 at $(0,0,0)$ of the magnetic field:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} B_1(X) = \ell_1 \cdot X + Q_1(X) + C_1(X) + R_1(X) + \mathcal{O}(|X|^5)\,, \\ B_2(X) = \ell_2 \cdot X + Q_2(X) + C_2(X) + R_2(X) + \mathcal{O}(|X|^5)\,, \\ B_3(X) = b_0 + Q_3(X) + C_3(X) + R_3(X) + \mathcal{O}(|X|^5)\,, \end{array}\right. \tag{2.1}$$

where $\ell_j \in \mathbb{R}^3, j = 1,2$, and Q_j, C_j and R_j, $j = 1,2,3$, are the terms of order 2, 3 and 4, respectively.

By assumption (1.3), we have

$$(\ell_1 \cdot X)^2 + (\ell_2 \cdot X)^2 + 2b_0 Q_3(X) > 0\,, \quad \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^3\,. \tag{2.2}$$

We also have

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{B} = \frac{\partial B_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial B_2}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial B_3}{\partial z} = 0\,,$$

which implies

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{\partial(\ell_1 \cdot X)}{\partial x} + \dfrac{\partial(\ell_2 \cdot X)}{\partial y} = 0\,; \\ \dfrac{\partial Q_1}{\partial x} + \dfrac{\partial Q_2}{\partial y} + \dfrac{\partial Q_3}{\partial z} = 0\,; \\ \dfrac{\partial C_1}{\partial x} + \dfrac{\partial C_2}{\partial y} + \dfrac{\partial C_3}{\partial z} = 0\,; \\ \dfrac{\partial R_1}{\partial x} + \dfrac{\partial R_2}{\partial y} + \dfrac{\partial R_3}{\partial z} = 0\,. \end{array}\right. \tag{2.3}$$

With the notation,

$$\ell_1 = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)\,, \quad \ell_2 = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)\,,$$

the first line of (2.3) gives

$$\alpha_1 + \beta_2 = 0\,. \tag{2.4}$$

We can assume without lost of generality that

$$\alpha_3 = 0\,. \tag{2.5}$$

Indeed, either it is already the case, either $\beta_3 = 0$ and we can exchange the role of x and y, or $\alpha_3 \neq 0$ and $\beta_3 \neq 0$ and a rotation around the z-axis permits to obtain the cancellation of α_3.

With the notation

$$Q_1(X) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} a_{ij} X_i X_j\,,\ Q_2(X) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} b_{ij} X_i X_j\,,\ Q_3(X) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{3} c_{ij} X_i X_j\,, \tag{2.6}$$

the second line of (2.3) reads

$$a_{1j} + b_{2j} + c_{3j} = 0\,, \quad j = 1, 2, 3\,. \tag{2.7}$$

With this notation, (1.3) is equivalent to saying that the matrix

$$Q = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Hess}(|\vec{B}|^2)(0) = b_0\,\mathrm{Hess}|\vec{B}|(0) \tag{2.8}$$

or equivalently (cf. (2.2))

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^2 + \beta_1^2 + 2b_0 c_{11} & \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \beta_1\beta_2 + 2b_0 c_{12} & \beta_1\beta_3 + 2b_0 c_{13} \\ \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \beta_1\beta_2 + 2b_0 c_{21} & \alpha_2^2 + \beta_2^2 + 2b_0 c_{22} & \beta_2\beta_3 + 2b_0 c_{23} \\ \beta_1\beta_3 + 2b_0 c_{31} & \beta_2\beta_3 + 2b_0 c_{32} & \beta_3^2 + 2b_0 c_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

is positive definite. We will denote the elements of Q by $\{q_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,2,3}$.

Without loss of generality, we can, after possibly a gauge transformation, assume that:

$$A_1 = 0\,.$$

With this assumption, we get an equation for A_2 by writing:

$$B_3 = \frac{\partial A_2}{\partial x} = b_0 + Q_3(X) + C_3(X) + R_3(X) + \mathcal{O}(|X|^5)\,,$$

and without loss of generality, we can, after possibly a gauge transformation assume that

$$A_2(0, y, z) = 0\,.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(x,y,z) & \\ &= b_0 x + \int_0^x Q_3(\hat{X})\,d\hat{x} + \int_0^x C_3(\hat{X})\,d\hat{x} + \int_0^x R_3(\hat{X})\,d\hat{x} + x\,\mathcal{O}(|X|^5)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where $\hat{X} = (\hat{x}, y, z)$. Similarly, we get an equation for A_3 by writing:

$$B_2(x,y,z) = -\frac{\partial A_3}{\partial x} = \ell_2 \cdot X + Q_2(X) + C_2(X) + R_2(X) + \mathcal{O}(|X|^5)$$

and without loss of generality we can, after possibly a gauge transformation (keeping the previous properties) assume that

$$A_3(0,0,z)=0\,.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}A_3(x,y,z)=&-\int_0^x \ell_2\cdot \hat X\,d\hat x-\int_0^x Q_2(\hat X)\,d\hat x-\int_0^x C_2(\hat X)\,d\hat x\\&-\int_0^x R_2(\hat X)\,d\hat x+a_3(y,z)+x\,\mathcal{O}(|X|^5)\,,\end{aligned}\tag{2.10}$$

where $\hat X=(\hat x,y,z)$ and $a_3(y,z)=A_3(0,y,z)$ satisfies

$$a_3(0,z)=0\,.\tag{2.11}$$

From (2.9) and (2.10), we obtain, using the definition of B_1,

$$\begin{aligned}B_1(x,y,z)=&\frac{\partial A_3}{\partial y}(X)-\frac{\partial A_2}{\partial z}(X)\\=&-\beta_2 x-\int_0^x\frac{\partial Q_2}{\partial y}(\hat X)d\hat x-\int_0^x\frac{\partial C_2}{\partial y}(\hat X)\,d\hat x-\int_0^x\frac{\partial R_2}{\partial y}(\hat X)\,d\hat x\\&+\frac{\partial a_3}{\partial y}(y,z)-\int_0^x\frac{\partial Q_3}{\partial z}(\hat X)\,d\hat x-\int_0^x\frac{\partial C_3}{\partial z}(\hat X)\,d\hat x\\&-\int_0^x\frac{\partial R_3}{\partial z}(\hat X)\,d\hat x+x\,\mathcal{O}(|X|^4)\,,\end{aligned}$$

and using (2.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}B_1(x,y,z)=&\alpha_1 x+Q_1(X)-Q_1(0,y,z)+C_1(X)-C_1(0,y,z)\\&+R_1(X)-R_1(0,y,z)+\frac{\partial a_3}{\partial y}(y,z)+\mathcal{O}(|X|^5)\,.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, comparing with (2.1), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial a_3}{\partial y}(y,z)=\alpha_2 y+Q_1(0,y,z)+C_1(0,y,z)+R_1(0,y,z)+\mathcal{O}(|y|^5+|z|^5)\,.$$

Thus, we obtain, having (2.11) in mind,

$$\begin{aligned}a_3(y,z)=&\frac12\alpha_2y^2+\int_0^y Q_1(0,\hat y,z)\,d\hat y\\&+\int_0^y C_1(0,\hat y,z)\,d\hat y+\int_0^y R_1(0,\hat y,z)\,d\hat y+y\,\mathcal{O}(|y|^5+|z|^5)\,.\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

Coming back to (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}A_2(x,y,z)=&b_0x+\frac13c_{11}x^3+c_{12}x^2y+c_{13}x^2z+c_{22}xy^2+2c_{23}xyz+c_{33}xz^2\\&+\int_0^x C_3(\hat X)\,d\hat x+\int_0^x R_3(\hat X)\,d\hat x+x\,\mathcal{O}(|X|^5)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, coming back to (2.10) and using (2.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}A_3(x,y,z)=&-\frac12\beta_1x^2-\beta_2xy-\beta_3xz+\frac12\alpha_2y^2\\&+\int_0^y C_1(0,\hat y,z)d\hat y+\int_0^y R_1(0,\hat y,z)d\hat y\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{3}b_{11}x^3-b_{12}x^2y-b_{13}x^2z-b_{22}xy^2-2b_{23}xyz-b_{33}xz^2\\
&+\frac{1}{3}a_{22}y^3+a_{23}y^2z+a_{33}yz^2-\int_0^x C_2(\hat{X})\,d\hat{x}-\int_0^x R_2(\hat{X})\,d\hat{x}\\
&+x\,\mathcal{O}(|X|^5)+y\,\mathcal{O}(|y|^5+|z|^5)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, what we have got is the following normal form:

Proposition 2.1. *Using only linear change of variables and gauge transformations, we can assume that*

$$
A_1(x,y,z)=0\,,\quad A_2(0,y,z)=0\,,\quad A_3(0,0,z)=0\,.
$$

Moreover:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_2(x,y,z)=&b_0x+\frac{1}{3}c_{11}x^3+c_{12}x^2y+c_{13}x^2z+c_{22}xy^2+2c_{23}xyz+c_{33}xz^2\\
&+\int_0^x C_3(\hat{X})\,d\hat{x}+\int_0^x R_3(\hat{X})\,d\hat{x}+x\,\mathcal{O}(|X|^5)\,,\\
A_3(x,y,z)=&-\frac{1}{2}\beta_1x^2-\beta_2xy-\beta_3xz\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2y^2+\int_0^y C_1(0,\hat{y},z)d\hat{y}+\int_0^y R_1(0,\hat{y},z)d\hat{y}\\
&-\frac{1}{3}b_{11}x^3-b_{12}x^2y-b_{13}x^2z-b_{22}xy^2-2b_{23}xyz-b_{33}xz^2\\
&+\frac{1}{3}a_{22}y^3+a_{23}y^2z+a_{33}yz^2-\int_0^x C_2(\hat{X})\,d\hat{x}-\int_0^x R_2(\hat{X})\,d\hat{x}\\
&+x\,\mathcal{O}(|X|^5)+y\,\mathcal{O}(|y|^5+|z|^5)\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.13}
$$

3. PRELIMINARIES FOR THE PROOF: METAPLECTIC TRANSFORMATIONS

In this section, we make further simplifications of the operator H^h, using metaplectic transformations. First, we introduce a suitable h-dependent rescaling of the problem and expand the resulting operator in fractional powers of h.

3.1. Rescaling.

Let us make a change of variables

$$
x=h^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{x},\quad y=h^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{y},\quad z=h^{\frac{1}{4}}\tilde{z}.
$$

We will only apply our operator H^h on functions which are a product of cut-off functions localized in a neighborhood of $(0,0,0)\in\mathbb{R}^3$ with linear combinations of terms like

$$
h^\nu w(h^{-1/2}x,h^{-1/2}y,h^{-1/4}z)\,,
$$

with w in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. These functions are consequently $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$ outside a fixed neighborhood of $(0,0,0)$. We will start by doing the computations formally in the sense that everything is determined modulo $\mathcal{O}(h^\infty)$, and any smooth function will be replaced by its Taylor's expansion at $(0,0,0)$. We introduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_1(X)&=\sum_{i\leq j\leq k}p_{ijk}X_iX_jX_k\,,\\
C_2(X)&=\sum_{i\leq j\leq k}q_{ijk}X_iX_jX_k\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$C_3(X) = \sum_{i\leq j\leq k} r_{ijk} X_i X_j X_k\,.$$

We will also need the coefficient of z^4 in R_j:

$$R_j(X) = \delta_j z^4 + \dots, \quad j = 1,2,3\,.$$

We have

$$H^h = hP^h\,,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P^h = D_{\tilde{x}}^2 + \Big(D_{\tilde{y}} - h^{-1/2}A_2(h^{\frac12}\tilde{x}, h^{\frac12}\tilde{y}, h^{\frac14}\tilde{z})\Big)^2 \\ + \Big(h^{1/4}D_{\tilde{z}} - h^{-1/2}A_3(h^{\frac12}\tilde{x}, h^{\frac12}\tilde{y}, h^{\frac14}\tilde{z})\Big)^2\,. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using (2.13), we expand the operator in fractional powers of h. First, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} &h^{-1/2}A_2(h^{\frac12}\tilde{x}, h^{\frac12}\tilde{y}, h^{\frac14}\tilde{z}) \\ &\qquad = b_0\tilde{x} + h^{\frac12}c_{33}\tilde{x}\tilde{z}^2 + h^{\frac34}[c_{13}\tilde{x}^2\tilde{z} + 2c_{23}\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{z} + r_{333}\tilde{x}\tilde{z}^3] \\ &\qquad\quad + h\left[\frac13 c_{11}\tilde{x}^3 + c_{12}\tilde{x}^2\tilde{y} + c_{22}\tilde{x}\tilde{y}^2 + \frac12 r_{133}\tilde{x}^2\tilde{z}^2 + r_{233}\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}^2 + \delta_3\tilde{x}\tilde{z}^4\right] \\ &\qquad\quad + \mathcal{O}(h^{5/4})\,, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &h^{-1/2}A_3(h^{\frac12}\tilde{x}, h^{\frac12}\tilde{y}, h^{\frac14}\tilde{z}) \\ &\qquad = - h^{\frac14}\beta_3\tilde{x}\tilde{z} + h^{\frac12}\left[-\frac12\beta_1\tilde{x}^2 - \beta_2\tilde{x}\tilde{y} + \frac12\alpha_2\tilde{y}^2 - b_{33}\tilde{x}\tilde{z}^2 + a_{33}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}^2\right] \\ &\qquad\quad + h^{\frac34}\left[-b_{13}\tilde{x}^2\tilde{z} - 2b_{23}\tilde{x}\tilde{y}\tilde{z} + a_{23}\tilde{y}^2\tilde{z} - q_{333}\tilde{x}\tilde{z}^3 + p_{333}\tilde{y}\tilde{z}^3\right] + \mathcal{O}(h)\,. \end{aligned}$$

Using these formulae and omitting the tilda's, we obtain (in the dilated coordinates)

$$P^h = P_0 + h^{\frac14}P_1 + h^{\frac12}P_2 + h^{\frac34}P_3 + hP_4 + \mathcal{O}(h^{5/4})\,,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_0 =& D_x^2 + (D_y - b_0x)^2\,, \\ P_1 =& 0\,, \\ P_2 =& - 2c_{33}xz^2(D_y - b_0x) + (D_z + \beta_3xz)^2\,, \\ P_3 =& - 2c_{13}x^2z(D_y - b_0x) - 2c_{23}[(D_y - b_0x)xyz + xyz(D_y - b_0x)] \\ & - 2r_{333}xz^3(D_y - b_0x) + (\beta_1x^2 + 2\beta_2xy - \alpha_2y^2)(D_z + \beta_3xz) \\ & + (b_{33}x - a_{33}y)((D_z + \beta_3xz)z^2 + z^2(D_z + \beta_3xz))\,, \\ P_4 =& - (D_y - b_0x)\left[\frac13 c_{11}x^3 + c_{12}x^2y + c_{22}xy^2 + \frac12 r_{133}x^2z^2 + r_{233}xyz^2 + \delta_3xz^4\right] \\ & - \left[\frac13 c_{11}x^3 + c_{12}x^2y + c_{22}xy^2 + \frac12 r_{133}x^2z^2 + r_{233}xyz^2 + \delta_3xz^4\right](D_y - b_0x) \\ & + c_{33}^2x^2z^4 + (D_z + \beta_3xz)\left[b_{13}x^2z + 2b_{23}xyz - a_{23}y^2z + q_{333}xz^3 - p_{333}yz^3\right] \\ & + \left[b_{13}x^2z + 2b_{23}xyz - a_{23}y^2z + q_{333}xz^3 - p_{333}yz^3\right](D_z + \beta_3xz) \end{aligned}$$

$$+\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta_1x^2+\beta_2xy-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2y^2+b_{33}xz^2-a_{33}yz^2\right)^2 .$$

3.2. **Partial Fourier transform and gauge transform.**

Now we are going to apply some metaplectic transformations. We recall that these transformations are unitary and therefore preserve the spectrum of the operators.

First, we make a partial Fourier transform in y:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{P}_0 =&D_x^2+(\eta-b_0x)^2 ,\\
\widehat{P}_1 =&0 ,\\
\widehat{P}_2 =&-2c_{33}xz^2(\eta-b_0x)+(D_z+\beta_3xz)^2 ,\\
\widehat{P}_3 =&-2c_{13}x^2z(\eta-b_0x)-2c_{23}[(\eta-b_0x)x(-D_\eta)z+x(-D_\eta)z(\eta-b_0x)]\\
&-2r_{333}xz^3(\eta-b_0x)+(\beta_1x^2+2\beta_2x(-D_\eta)-\alpha_2D_\eta^2)(D_z+\beta_3xz)\\
&+(b_{33}x+a_{33}D_\eta)((D_z+\beta_3xz)z^2+z^2(D_z+\beta_3xz)) ,\\
\widehat{P}_4 =&-(\eta-b_0x)\Big[\frac{1}{3}c_{11}x^3+c_{12}x^2(-D_\eta)+c_{22}xD_\eta^2+\frac{1}{2}r_{133}x^2z^2\\
&+r_{233}x(-D_\eta)z^2+\delta_3xz^4\Big]-\Big[\frac{1}{3}c_{11}x^3+c_{12}x^2(-D_\eta)+c_{22}xD_\eta^2+\frac{1}{2}r_{133}x^2z^2\\
&+r_{233}x(-D_\eta)z^2+\delta_3xz^4\Big](\eta-b_0x)+c_{33}^2x^2z^4\\
&+(D_z+\beta_3xz)\left[b_{13}x^2z+2b_{23}x(-D_\eta)z-a_{23}D_\eta^2z+q_{333}xz^3-p_{333}(-D_\eta)z^3\right]\\
&+\left[b_{13}x^2z+2b_{23}x(-D_\eta)z-a_{23}D_\eta^2z+q_{333}xz^3-p_{333}(-D_\eta)z^3\right](D_z+\beta_3xz)\\
&+\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta_1x^2+\beta_2x(-D_\eta)-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2D_\eta^2+b_{33}xz^2+a_{33}D_\eta z^2\right)^2 .
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we make a translation $\check{x}=x-\frac{\eta}{b_0}$ (and forget the "check"):

$$\begin{aligned}
\check{P}_0 =&D_x^2+b_0^2x^2 ,\\
\check{P}_1 =&0 ,\\
\check{P}_2 =&2c_{33}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z^2b_0x+(D_z+\beta_3(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z)^2 ,\\
\check{P}_3 =&2c_{13}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2z(b_0x)+2c_{23}\Big[(b_0x)(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\Big(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x-D_\eta\Big)z\\
&+(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\Big(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x-D_\eta\Big)z(b_0x)\Big]+2r_{333}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z^3(b_0x)\\
&+\left(\beta_1(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2+2\beta_2(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x-D_\eta\right)-\alpha_2\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x-D_\eta\right)^2\right)\times\\
&\times(D_z+\beta_3(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z)+\Big(b_{33}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})-a_{33}\Big(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x-D_\eta\Big)\Big)\times\\
&\times\Big((D_z+\beta_3(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z)z^2+z^2(D_z+\beta_3(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z)\Big) ,\\
\check{P}_4 =&b_0x\Big[\frac{1}{3}c_{11}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^3+c_{12}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x-D_\eta\right)\\
&+c_{22}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x-D_\eta\right)^2+\frac{1}{2}r_{133}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2z^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ r_{233}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x - D_\eta\right) z^2 + \delta_3(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0}) z^4\Big]\\
&+ \Big[\frac{1}{3}c_{11}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^3 + c_{12}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x - D_\eta\right)\\
&+ c_{22}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x - D_\eta\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}r_{133}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2 z^2\\
&+ r_{233}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x - D_\eta\right) z^2 + \delta_3(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0}) z^4\Big](b_0x)\\
&+ c_{33}^2(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2 z^4 + (D_z+\beta_3(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z)\Big[b_{13}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2 z\\
&+ 2b_{23}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x - D_\eta\right) z - a_{23}\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x - D_\eta\right)^2 z\\
&+ q_{333}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z^3 - p_{333}\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x - D_\eta\right) z^3\Big]\\
&+ \Big[b_{13}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2 z + 2b_{23}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x - D_\eta\right) z - a_{23}\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x - D_\eta\right)^2 z\\
&+ q_{333}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z^3 - p_{333}\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x - D_\eta\right) z^3\Big](D_z+\beta_3(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z)\\
&+ \Big(\frac{1}{2}\beta_1(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2 + \beta_2(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x - D_\eta\right) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_2\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x - D_\eta\right)^2\\
&+ b_{33}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z^2 - a_{33}\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x - D_\eta\right) z^2\Big)^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we make a gauge transformation (by $\exp(-i\frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}\eta z^2)$):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{P}_0 =& D_x^2 + b_0^2 x^2\,,\\
\widetilde{P}_1 =& 0\,,\\
\widetilde{P}_2 =& 2b_0c_{33}x(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z^2 + (D_z+\beta_3xz)^2\,,\\
\widetilde{P}_3 =& 2b_0c_{13}x(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2 z + 2c_{23}\Big[(b_0x)(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x + \frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2 - D_\eta\right) z\\
&+ (x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x + \frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2 - D_\eta\right) z(b_0x)\Big] + 2r_{333}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z^3(b_0x)\\
&+ \Big(\beta_1(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2 + 2\beta_2(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x + \frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2 - D_\eta\right)\\
&- \alpha_2\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x + \frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2 - D_\eta\right)^2\Big)(D_z+\beta_3xz)\\
&+ \left(b_{33}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0}) - a_{33}\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x + \frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2 - D_\eta\right)\right)\times\\
&\times ((D_z+\beta_3xz)z^2 + z^2(D_z+\beta_3xz)),\\
\widetilde{P}_4 =& b_0x\Big[\frac{1}{3}c_{11}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^3 + c_{12}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2\left(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x + \frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2 - D_\eta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ c_{22}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\Big(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x+\frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2-D_\eta\Big)^2+\frac{1}{2}r_{133}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2z^2\\
&+ r_{233}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\Big(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x+\frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2-D_\eta\Big)z^2+\delta_3(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z^4\Big]\\
&+\Big[\frac{1}{3}c_{11}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^3+c_{12}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2\Big(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x+\frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2-D_\eta\Big)\\
&+ c_{22}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\Big(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x+\frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2-D_\eta\Big)^2+\frac{1}{2}r_{133}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2z^2\\
&+ r_{233}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\Big(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x+\frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2-D_\eta\Big)z^2+\delta_3(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z^4\Big](b_0x)\\
&+ c_{33}^2(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2z^4+(D_z+\beta_3xz)\Big[b_{13}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2z\\
&+ 2b_{23}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\Big(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x+\frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2-D_\eta\Big)z-a_{23}\Big(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x+\frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2-D_\eta\Big)^2z\\
&+ q_{333}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z^3-p_{333}\Big(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x+\frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2-D_\eta\Big)z^3\Big]+\Big[b_{13}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2z\\
&+ 2b_{23}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\Big(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x+\frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2-D_\eta\Big)z-a_{23}\Big(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x+\frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2-D_\eta\Big)^2z\\
&+ q_{333}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z^3-p_{333}\Big(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x+\frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2-D_\eta\Big)z^3\Big](D_z+\beta_3xz)\\
&+\Big(\frac{1}{2}\beta_1(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})^2+\beta_2(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})\Big(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x+\frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2-D_\eta\Big)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2\Big(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x+\frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2-D_\eta\Big)^2+b_{33}(x+\frac{\eta}{b_0})z^2\\
&-a_{33}\Big(\frac{1}{b_0}D_x+\frac{\beta_3}{2b_0}z^2-D_\eta\Big)z^2\Big)^2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

4. CONSTRUCTION OF APPROXIMATE EIGENFUNCTIONS

In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. We start with a formal construction. We will construct an approximate eigenfunction of the operator P^h in the form

$$u^h(x,\eta,z)\sim\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}h^{\frac{j}{4}}u_j(x,\eta,z)\,,$$

corresponding to an approximate eigenvalue

$$\lambda^h\sim\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}h^{\frac{j}{4}}\lambda_j\,.$$

We will express the cancelation of the coefficients of $h^{\ell/4}$ ($\ell\in\mathbb{N}$) in the formal expansion of $(P^h-\lambda^h)u^h$, starting with $\ell=0$.

4.1. **The first equations.**

The first equations read (here we omit tilda's):

$$(P_0-\lambda_0)u_0=0\,, \tag{4.1}$$

$$(P_0-\lambda_0)u_1=\lambda_1u_0\,, \tag{4.2}$$

$$(P_0-\lambda_0)u_2 = -P_2u_0+\lambda_1u_1+\lambda_2u_0\,, \tag{4.3}$$

$$(P_0-\lambda_0)u_3 = -P_3u_0-P_2u_1+\lambda_1u_2+\lambda_2u_1+\lambda_3u_0\,, \tag{4.4}$$

$$(P_0-\lambda_0)u_4 = -P_4u_0-P_3u_1-P_2u_2+\lambda_1u_3+\lambda_2u_2+\lambda_3u_1+\lambda_4u_0\,, \tag{4.5}$$

and we now show how to solve them successively.

4.2. **Main equation.**

The first equation (4.1) reads

$$(D_x^2+b_0^2x^2-\lambda_0)u_0=0\,.$$

We arrive at the eigenvalue problem for the harmonic oscillator

$$\mathfrak{h}_1 := D_x^2+b_0^2x^2\,. \tag{4.6}$$

Recall that its eigenvalues have the form

$$\mathrm{Sp}(\mathfrak{h}_1)=\{\mu_k=(2k+1)b_0 : k\in\mathbb{N}\}\,.$$

An eigenfunction of $\mathfrak{h}_1$ associated with the eigenvalue μ_k is given by

$$\phi_k(x)=b_0^{1/2}H_k(b_0^{1/2}x)e^{-b_0x^2/2}\,,$$

where H_k is the Hermite polynomial:

$$H_k(t)=(-1)^k e^{t^2}\frac{d^k}{dt^k}(e^{-t^2})\,.$$

The norm of ϕ_k in $L^2(\mathbb{R},dx)$ equals the norm of H_k in $L^2(\mathbb{R},e^{-t^2}\,dt)$, which is given by

$$\|H_k\|=\sqrt{2^k k!\sqrt{\pi}}\,.$$

Some well-known formulae, concerning to the Hermite functions, which we need in the paper, are gathered in the appendix.

We will take λ_0 as the k-th eigenvalue of $\mathfrak{h}_1$

$$\lambda_0=(2k+1)b_0 \tag{4.7}$$

and will look for a solution u_0 to (4.1) in the form

$$u_0(x,\eta,z)=\phi_k(x)\chi_0(\eta)\Psi_0(z)\,, \tag{4.8}$$

with χ_0 and Ψ_0 in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ to be determined in the next steps.

Remark that what we do at this step corresponds to the so-called reduction to the k-th Landau-Level.

4.3. **Second equation : coefficient of $h^{\frac{1}{4}}$.**

We look for a solution u_1 to (4.2) in the form

$$u_1(x,\eta,z)=\phi_k(x)v_1(\eta,z)\,, \tag{4.9}$$

with $v_1\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to be determined in the next steps, and take

$$\lambda_1=0\,. \tag{4.10}$$

4.4. **Third equation: coefficient of $h^{\frac{1}{2}}$.**

In order to solve the equation (4.3), we find as a necessary condition that, for any z we should have,

$$-\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_2 u_0, \phi_k\rangle_x + \lambda_2 \chi_0(\eta)\Psi_0(z) = 0\,, \tag{4.11}$$

with $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_x$ denoting the scalar product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}_x)$. Using that ϕ_k^2 is even, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_2 u_0, \phi_k\rangle_x =&\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle [2b_0c_{33}x^2z^2 + D_z^2 + \beta_3 x^2 z^2] u_0, \phi_k\rangle_x\\ =&\chi_0(\eta)\mathfrak{h}_3\Psi_0(z)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{h}_3 := D_z^2 + \frac{2k+1}{2b_0}(\beta_3^2 + 2c_{33}b_0)z^2\,. \tag{4.13}$$

Thus, the condition (4.11) can be rewritten as

$$\mathfrak{h}_3\Psi_0 = \lambda_2\Psi_0\,. \tag{4.14}$$

This determines λ_2 and Ψ_0. We take λ_2 as the j-th eigenvalue eigenvalue of $\mathfrak{h}_3$:

$$\lambda_2 = (2j+1)(2k+1)^{1/2}\sqrt{\frac{\beta_3^2 + 2c_{33}b_0}{2b_0}} = (2j+1)\Lambda_2\,, \tag{4.15}$$

where

$$\Lambda_2 := (2k+1)^{1/2}\sqrt{\frac{\beta_3^2 + 2c_{33}b_0}{2b_0}} = (2k+1)^{1/2}\sqrt{\frac{q_{33}}{2b_0}}\,,$$

and Ψ_0 as the corresponding eigenfunction:

$$\Psi_0(z) = \psi_j(z) := \Lambda_2^{1/2} H_j(\Lambda_2^{1/2} z) e^{-\Lambda_2 z^2/2}\,.$$

Observe that

$$q_{33} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 |B|^2}{\partial z^2}(X_0) = 2b_0 a\,.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\lambda_2 = (2j+1)(2k+1)^{1/2}a^{1/2}\,.$$

To find u_2, from (4.3) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathfrak{h}_1 - \lambda_0)u_2&\\ =&-\phi_k(x)\chi_0(\eta)D_z^2\psi_j(z) - x\phi_k(x)\chi_0(\eta)(2c_{33}\eta z^2 + \beta_3(D_z z + zD_z))\psi_j(z)\\ &-(\beta_3^2 + 2b_0c_{33})x^2\phi_k(x)\chi_0(\eta)z^2\psi_j(z) + \lambda_2\phi_k(x)\chi_0(\eta)\psi_j(z)\\ =&-\frac{1}{2b_0^{1/2}}\left(\phi_{k+1}(x) + 2k\phi_{k-1}(x)\right)\chi_0(\eta)(2c_{33}\eta z^2 + \beta_3(D_z z + zD_z))\psi_j(z)\\ &-\frac{1}{4b_0}(\beta_3^2 + 2b_0c_{33})\left(\phi_{k+2}(x) + 4k(k-1)\phi_{k-2}(x)\right)\chi_0(\eta)z^2\psi_j(z)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the identity

$$(\mathfrak{h}_1 - \lambda_0)\phi_{k+\ell} = 2\ell b_0\phi_{k+\ell},$$

we get

$$u_2(x,\eta,z) = U_2(x,\eta,z) + \phi_k(x)v_2(\eta,z)\,, \tag{4.16}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&U_2(x,\eta,z)\\
&\qquad =-\frac{1}{4b_0^{3/2}}\left(\phi_{k+1}(x)-2k\phi_{k-1}(x)\right)\chi_0(\eta)(2c_{33}\eta z^2+\beta_3(D_z z+zD_z))\psi_j(z)\\
&\qquad\quad -\frac{1}{16b_0^2}(\beta_3^2+2b_0c_{33})\left(\phi_{k+2}(x)-4k(k-1)\phi_{k-2}(x)\right)\chi_0(\eta)z^2\psi_j(z)\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $v_2\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ has to be determined in the next steps.

4.5. **Fourth equation: coefficient of $h^{\frac{3}{4}}$.**

In order to solve the equation (4.4), we find as a necessary condition that, for any (z,η) we should have

$$
-\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_3u_0,\phi_k\rangle_x-\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_2u_1,\phi_k\rangle_x+\lambda_2v_1(z,\eta)+\lambda_3\chi_0(\eta)\psi_j(z)=0. \tag{4.17}
$$

For the first term in the left-hand side of (4.17), since ϕ_k^2 is even, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_3u_0,\phi_k\rangle_x=\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_3^+u_0,\phi_k\rangle_x,
$$

where P_3^+ is the even part of P_3 as a differential operator in x. As a sum of homogeneous components in (η,D_η), the operator P_3^+ is written as

$$
P_3^+=P_3^{(2)}+P_3^{(1)}+P_3^{(0)}\,,
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_3^{(2)}=&\left(\beta_1\frac{\eta^2}{b_0^2}-2\beta_2\frac{\eta}{b_0}D_\eta-\alpha_2D_\eta^2\right)D_z,\\
P_3^{(1)}=&\Big[2q_{13}x^2\frac{\eta}{b_0}+q_{23}\left(\frac{\eta}{b_0^2}(xD_x+D_xx)-2x^2D_\eta\right)+\alpha_2\beta_3\frac{1}{b_0}(xD_x+D_xx)D_\eta\Big]z\\
&+\Big[\frac{\beta_2\beta_3}{2b_0^2}\eta+\frac{\alpha_2\beta_3}{2b_0}D_\eta+b_{33}\frac{\eta}{b_0}+a_{33}D_\eta\Big](z^2D_z+D_zz^2),\\
P_3^{(0)}=&\frac{q_{23}\beta_3}{b_0}x^2z^3+2(\beta_3b_{33}+b_0r_{333})x^2z^3+(\beta_1x^2+2\beta_2\frac{1}{b_0}xD_x-\alpha_2\frac{1}{b_0^2}D_x^2)D_z\\
&-\Big[\frac{\alpha_2\beta_3^2}{8b_0^2}+\frac{\beta_3a_{33}}{2b_0}\Big](D_zz^4+z^4D_z)-\Big[\frac{\alpha_2\beta_3^2}{2b_0^2}+\frac{\beta_3a_{33}}{b_0}\Big](xD_x+D_xx)z^3\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_3^+u_0,\phi_k\rangle_x\\
&\qquad=\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_3^{(2)}u_0,\phi_k\rangle_x+\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_3^{(1)}u_0,\phi_k\rangle_x+\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_3^{(0)}u_0,\phi_k\rangle_x\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_3^{(2)}u_0,\phi_k\rangle_x\\
&\qquad=\left(\beta_1\frac{\eta^2}{b_0^2}-2\beta_2\frac{\eta}{b_0}D_\eta-\alpha_2D_\eta^2\right)\chi_0(\eta)D_z\psi_j(z)\\
&\qquad=\left(\beta_1\frac{\eta^2}{b_0^2}-2\beta_2\frac{\eta}{b_0}D_\eta-\alpha_2D_\eta^2\right)\chi_0(\eta)\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2^{1/2}i\left(\psi_{j+1}(z)-2j\psi_{j-1}(z)\right)\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_3^{(1)}u_0,\phi_k\rangle_x\\
&\qquad=(2k+1)\Big[q_{13}\frac{\eta}{b_0^2}-q_{23}\frac{1}{b_0}D_\eta\Big]\chi_0(\eta)z\psi_j(z)\\
&\qquad\quad+\Big[\frac{\beta_2\beta_3}{2b_0^2}\eta+\frac{\alpha_2\beta_3}{2b_0}D_\eta+b_{33}\frac{\eta}{b_0}+a_{33}D_\eta\Big]\chi_0(\eta)(z^2D_z+D_zz^2)\psi_j(z)\\
&\qquad=(2k+1)\Big[q_{13}\frac{\eta}{b_0^2}-q_{23}\frac{1}{b_0}D_\eta\Big]\chi_0(\eta)\frac{1}{2\Lambda_2^{1/2}}\left(\psi_{j+1}(z)+2j\psi_{j-1}(z)\right)\\
&\qquad\quad+\Big[\frac{\beta_2\beta_3}{2b_0^2}\eta+\frac{\alpha_2\beta_3}{2b_0}D_\eta+b_{33}\frac{\eta}{b_0}+a_{33}D_\eta\Big]\chi_0(\eta)\frac{1}{4\Lambda_2^{1/2}}i[\psi_{j+3}(z)+\\
&\qquad\quad+(2j+2)\psi_{j+1}(z)-4j^2\psi_{j-1}(z)-8j(j-1)(j-2)\psi_{j-3}(z)]\,,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_3^{(0)}u_0,\phi_k\rangle_x\\
&\quad=(2k+1)\Big[\frac{q_{23}\beta_3}{2b_0^2}+\frac{\beta_3b_{33}+b_0r_{333}}{b_0}\Big]\chi_0(\eta)z^3\psi_j(z)\\
&\quad\quad+(\beta_1\frac{2k+1}{2b_0}+\beta_2\frac{1}{b_0}i-\alpha_2\frac{2k+1}{2b_0})\chi_0(\eta)D_z\psi_j(z)\\
&\quad\quad-\Big[\frac{\alpha_2\beta_3^2}{8b_0^2}+\frac{\beta_3a_{33}}{2b_0}\Big]\chi_0(\eta)(z^4D_z+D_zz^4)\psi_j(z)\\
&\quad=(2k+1)\Big[\frac{q_{23}\beta_3}{2b_0^2}+\frac{\beta_3b_{33}+b_0r_{333}}{b_0}\Big]\chi_0(\eta)\frac{1}{8\Lambda_2^{3/2}}(\psi_{j+3}(z)+\\
&\quad\quad+(6j+6)\psi_{j+1}(z)+12j^2\psi_{j-1}(z)+8j(j-1)(j-2)\psi_{j-3}(z))\\
&\quad\quad+(\beta_1\frac{2k+1}{2b_0}+\beta_2\frac{1}{b_0}i-\alpha_2\frac{2k+1}{2b_0})\chi_0(\eta)\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_2^{1/2}i(\psi_{j+1}(z)-2j\psi_{j-1}(z))\\
&\quad\quad-\Big[\frac{\alpha_2\beta_3^2}{8b_0^2}+\frac{\beta_3a_{33}}{2b_0}\Big]\chi_0(\eta)\frac{1}{16\Lambda_2^{3/2}}i\Big(\psi_{j+5}(z)+(6j+12)\psi_{j+3}(z)\\
&\quad\quad+4(2j^2+4j+3)\psi_{j+1}(z)-8(2j^2+1)j\psi_{j-1}(z)\\
&\quad\quad-48j(j-1)^2(j-2)\psi_{j-3}(z)-32j(j-1)(j-2)(j-3)(j-4)\psi_{j-5}(z)\Big)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term in the left-hand side of (4.17), as in (4.12), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_2u_1,\phi_k\rangle_x=\mathfrak{h}_3v_1(\eta,z)\,.$$

Thus, the condition (4.17) can be written as an equation with respect to v_1 in the form

$$(\mathfrak{h}_3-\lambda_2)v_1(\eta,z)=-\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_3^+u_0,\phi_k\rangle_x+\lambda_3\chi_0(\eta)\psi_j(z)\,. \tag{4.19}$$

In order to solve (4.19), as a necessary condition, we should have

$$-\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2\|H_j\|^2}\langle P_3^+u_0,\phi_k\psi_j\rangle_{x,z}+\lambda_3\chi_0(\eta)=0\,,$$

with $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{x,z}$ denoting the scalar product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2_{x,z})$. It follows from (4.18) that the function $\langle P_3^+u_0,\phi_k\rangle_x(\eta,z)\psi_j(z)$ is odd in z, therefore, $\langle P_3^+u_0,\phi_k\psi_j\rangle_{x,z}=0$ and

$$\lambda_3 = 0\,. \tag{4.20}$$

Using (4.18) and the identity

$$(\mathfrak{h}_3-\lambda_2)\psi_{j+\ell} = 2\ell\Lambda_2\psi_{j+\ell},$$

we find a solution to (4.19) in the form

$$v_1 = v_1^{(2)} + v_1^{(1)} + v_1^{(0)}\,, \tag{4.21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1^{(2)} &= -\left(\beta_1\frac{\eta^2}{b_0^2} - 2\beta_2\frac{\eta}{b_0}D_\eta - \alpha_2 D_\eta^2\right)\chi_0(\eta)\frac{1}{4\Lambda_2^{1/2}}i\left(\psi_{j+1}(z)+2j\psi_{j-1}(z)\right)\,,\\
v_1^{(1)} &= -\Big[q_{13}\frac{\eta}{b_0^2} - q_{23}\frac{1}{b_0}D_\eta\Big]\chi_0(\eta)\frac{2k+1}{4\Lambda_2^{3/2}}\left(\psi_{j+1}(z)-2j\psi_{j-1}(z)\right)\\
&\quad - \Big[\frac{\beta_2\beta_3}{2b_0^2}\eta + \frac{\alpha_2\beta_3}{2b_0}D_\eta + b_{33}\frac{\eta}{b_0} + a_{33}D_\eta\Big]\chi_0(\eta)\frac{1}{24\Lambda_2^{3/2}}i[\psi_{j+3}(z)+\\
&\quad + (6j+6)\psi_{j+1}(z) + 12j^2\psi_{j-1}(z) + 8j(j-1)(j-2)\psi_{j-3}(z)]\,,\\
v_1^{(0)} &= -\Big[\frac{q_{23}\beta_3}{2b_0^2} + \frac{\beta_3 b_{33} + b_0 r_{333}}{b_0}\Big]\chi_0(\eta)\frac{2k+1}{48\Lambda_2^{5/2}}(\psi_{j+3}(z)+\\
&\quad + (18j+18)\psi_{j+1}(z) - 36j^2\psi_{j-1}(z) - 8j(j-1)(j-2)\psi_{j-3}(z))\\
&\quad - (\beta_1\frac{2k+1}{2b_0} + \beta_2\frac{1}{b_0}i - \alpha_2\frac{2k+1}{2b_0})\chi_0(\eta)\frac{1}{4\Lambda_2^{1/2}}i(\psi_{j+1}(z)+2j\psi_{j-1}(z))\\
&\quad + \Big[\frac{\alpha_2\beta_3^2}{8b_0^2} + \frac{\beta_3 a_{33}}{2b_0}\Big]\chi_0(\eta)\frac{1}{16\Lambda_2^{5/2}}i\Big(\frac{1}{10}\psi_{j+5}(z) + (j+2)\psi_{j+3}(z)+\\
&\quad + 2(2j^2+4j+3)\psi_{j+1}(z) + 4(2j^2+1)j\psi_{j-1}(z)\\
&\quad + 8j(j-1)^2(j-2)\psi_{j-3}(z) + \frac{16j(j-1)(j-2)(j-3)(j-4)}{5}\psi_{j-5}(z)\Big)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

With such a choice of v_1, the orthogonality condition (4.17) holds, and a solution u_3 to (4.4) exists. Substituting (4.21) in (4.9), we find u_1.

4.6. **Fifth equation: coefficient of h.**

The necessary condition for solvability of (4.5) reads

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_4u_0,\phi_k\rangle_x - \frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_3u_1,\phi_k\rangle_x - \frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_2u_2,\phi_k\rangle_x&\\
+\lambda_2\langle u_2,\phi_k\rangle_x + \lambda_4\chi_0(\eta)\psi_j(z) = 0\,.&
\end{aligned}\tag{4.22}$$

Using (4.16) and (4.12), we get

$$\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_2u_2,\phi_k\rangle_x = \frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_2U_2,\phi_k\rangle_x + \mathfrak{h}_3 v_2(\eta,z)\,,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle u_2,\phi_k\rangle_x = v_2(\eta,z)\,.$$

Therefore, the condition (4.22) can be rewritten as an equation with respect to v_2 in the form

$$\begin{aligned}(4.23)\quad &(\mathfrak{h}_3-\lambda_2)v_2(\eta,z)\\ &=-\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_4u_0,\phi_k\rangle_x-\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_3u_1,\phi_k\rangle_x-\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2}\langle P_2U_2,\phi_k\rangle_x+\lambda_4\chi_0(\eta)\psi_j(z)\,.\end{aligned}$$

The necessary condition for solvability of (4.23) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}-\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2\|H_j\|^2}\langle P_4u_0,\phi_k\psi_j\rangle_{x,z}-\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2\|H_j\|^2}\langle P_3u_1,\phi_k\psi_j\rangle_{x,z}\\ -\frac{1}{\|H_k\|^2\|H_j\|^2}\langle P_2U_2,\phi_k\psi_j\rangle_{x,z}+\lambda_4\chi_0(\eta)=0\,.\end{aligned}\tag{4.24}$$

Lemma 4.1. *The identity* (4.24) *has the form*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{2k+1}{2b_0}\frac{q_{22}q_{33}-q_{23}^2}{q_{33}}D_\eta^2\chi_0(\eta)-\frac{2k+1}{2b_0^2}\frac{q_{12}q_{33}-q_{13}q_{23}}{q_{33}}(\eta D_\eta+D_\eta\eta)\chi_0(\eta)\\ +\frac{2k+1}{2b_0^3}\frac{q_{11}q_{33}-q_{13}^2}{q_{33}}\eta^2\chi_0(\eta)+\alpha D_\eta\chi_0(\eta)+\beta\eta\chi_0(\eta)+\gamma\chi_0(\eta)-\lambda_4\chi_0(\eta)=0\,,\end{aligned}\tag{4.25}$$

where $\alpha=\alpha(j,k),\beta=\beta(j,k),\gamma=\gamma(j,k)$ *are of the form*

$$\alpha=\bar\alpha(2k+1)^{1/2}(2j+1),\quad \beta=\bar\beta(2k+1)^{1/2}(2j+1),\tag{4.26}$$

and

$$\gamma=\gamma_1(2j+1)^2+\gamma_2(2k+1)^2+\gamma_0,\tag{4.27}$$

where $\bar\alpha$, $\bar\beta$, γ_0, γ_1 *and* γ_2 *are some explicit constants.*

The proof of Lemma 4.1 is given by a long routine computation and will be omitted.

By Lemma 4.1, λ_4 is an eigenvalue of the second order differential operator

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{h}_5:=\frac{2k+1}{2b_0}\frac{q_{22}q_{33}-q_{23}^2}{q_{33}}D_\eta^2-\frac{2k+1}{2b_0^2}\frac{q_{12}q_{33}-q_{13}q_{23}}{q_{33}}(\eta D_\eta+D_\eta\eta)\\ +\frac{2k+1}{2b_0^3}\frac{q_{11}q_{33}-q_{13}^2}{q_{33}}\eta^2+\alpha D_\eta+\beta\eta+\gamma\,.\end{aligned}$$

This operator is a globally elliptic operator in $\mathbb{R}$. Therefore, it has discrete spectrum in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, which is described by the next lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *The eigenvalues of the operator*

$$\mathcal{H}=AD_\eta^2+B(\eta D_\eta+D_\eta\eta)+C\eta^2+\alpha D_\eta+\beta\eta+\gamma,\quad AC-B^2>0\,,$$

are given by

$$\hat\lambda_m=(2m+1)\sqrt{AC-B^2}+\gamma-\frac{1}{4(AC-B^2)}(C\alpha^2-2B\alpha\beta+A\beta^2)\,,\quad m\in\mathbb{N}\,.$$

Proof. The operator $\mathcal{H}$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}=XX^++\sqrt{AC-B^2}+\gamma-|z|^2\,,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}X=&A^{1/2}D_\eta+\frac{B+i\sqrt{AC-B^2}}{A^{1/2}}\eta+z,\\ X^+=&A^{1/2}D_\eta+\frac{B-i\sqrt{AC-B^2}}{A^{1/2}}\eta+\bar z,\end{aligned}$$

$$z = \frac{1}{2A^{1/2}}\alpha + i\frac{A\beta - B\alpha}{2A^{1/2}\sqrt{AC-B^2}},$$

and we have

$$[X^+, X] = 2\sqrt{AC-B^2}\,.$$

Therefore, the lemma is immediately proved by following a well-known computation of the spectrum of the harmonic oscillator by means of creation and annihilation operators. ☐

For $\mathfrak{h}_5$, we have

$$A = \frac{2k+1}{2b_0}\frac{q_{22}q_{33}-q_{23}^2}{q_{33}}\,, B = -\frac{2k+1}{2b_0^2}\frac{q_{12}q_{33}-q_{13}q_{23}}{q_{33}}\,, C = \frac{2k+1}{2b_0^3}\frac{q_{11}q_{33}-q_{13}^2}{q_{33}}\,,$$

and α, β and γ are given by (4.26) and (4.27). In particular, by (2.8), we have

$$AC - B^2 = \frac{(2k+1)^2}{4b_0^4}\frac{\det Q}{q_{33}} = \frac{(2k+1)^2}{4b_0^2}\frac{d}{2a}.$$

By Lemma 4.2, the eigenvalues of $\mathfrak{h}_5$ have the form

$$\hat{\lambda}_m = \frac{1}{2b_0}\left(\frac{d}{2a}\right)^{1/2}(2k+1)(2m+1) + \nu(j,k)\,, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{4.28}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\nu(j,k) =& \gamma - \frac{1}{4(AC-B^2)}(C\alpha^2 - 2B\alpha\beta + A\beta^2)\\ =& \nu_{22}(2k+1)^2 + \nu_{11}(2j+1)^2 + \nu_0\,,\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\nu_{22} = \gamma_2, \quad \nu_0 = \gamma_0,$$

and

$$\nu_{11} = \gamma_1 - \frac{a}{d}\left(\frac{1}{b_0}\frac{q_{11}q_{33}-q_{13}^2}{q_{33}}\bar{\alpha}^2 + 2\frac{q_{12}q_{33}-q_{13}q_{23}}{q_{33}}\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta} + b_0\frac{q_{22}q_{33}-q_{23}^2}{q_{33}}\bar{\beta}^2\right)\,.$$

Taking λ_4 as the m-th eigenvalue of $\mathfrak{h}_5$ and χ_0 as the corresponding eigenfunction, we obtain that the condition (4.24) holds. Therefore, we can find a solution v_2 to (4.23). Then the condition (4.22) holds, that allows us to find a solution u_4 to (4.5).

Thus, for any $j, k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have proved the existence of a solution $u_\ell, \ell = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, to the system of equations (4.1)–(4.5) with a suitable choice of $\lambda_\ell, \ell = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ given by (4.7), (4.10), (4.15), (4.20) and (4.28). Setting

$$u^h(x,\eta,z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{4} h^{\frac{\ell}{4}} u_\ell(x,\eta,z)\,, \quad \lambda^h = \sum_{\ell=0}^{4} h^{\frac{\ell}{4}}\lambda_\ell,$$

we obtain

$$P^h u^h - \lambda^h u^h = \mathcal{O}(h^{\frac{5}{4}}).$$

The functions u_ℓ have sufficient decay properties. Therefore, by changing back to the original coordinates and multiplying by a fixed cut-off function, we obtain the desired functions $\phi^h_{j,k,m}$, which satisfy (1.5) with

$$\mu^h_{j,k,m} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{4} h^{\frac{\ell}{4}}\mu_{j,k,m,\ell},$$

where

$$\mu_{j,k,m,\ell} = \lambda_\ell, \quad \ell = 0,1,2,3,4.$$

The functions u_0 for different j, k and m are orthogonal. Since each change of variables, which we use, is unitary, this implies the condition (1.4).

5. Periodic case and spectral gaps

In this section, we apply the previous results to the problem of existence of gaps in the spectrum of a periodic magnetic Schrödinger operator. For related results on spectral gaps for periodic magnetic Schrödinger operators, see [8] and references therein.

Consider the Schrödinger operator with magnetic potential in the entire Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$

$$H^h = (hD_{X_1} - A_1(X))^2 + (hD_{X_2} - A_2(X))^2 + (hD_{X_3} - A_3(X))^2 \,.$$

We assume that the vector magnetic field $\vec{B} = (B_1, B_2, B_3)$ is Γ-invariant for some cocompact lattice $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

Put

$$b_0 = \min\{|\vec{B}(X)| \,:\, X \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$$

and assume that there exist a (connected) fundamental domain Q for Γ and a constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$|B(X)| \geq b_0 + \epsilon_0, \quad x \in \partial Q \,.$$

We will consider the operator H^h as an unbounded self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Using the results of [6], one can immediately derive from Theorem 1.2 the following result on existence of gaps in the spectrum of H^h in the semiclassical limit.

Theorem 5.1.
Suppose that

$$b_0 > 0\,,$$

and that there exists a unique minimum $X_0 \in Q$ such that $|B(X_0)| = b_0$, which is non-degenerate: in some neighborhood of X_0

$$C^{-1}|X - X_0|^2 \leq |\vec{B}(X)| - b_0 \leq C|X - X_0|^2 \,.$$

Then, for any natural j, k and N, there exist $h_{j,k,N} > 0$, $c_{j,k}$ and $C_{j,k,N}$ such that

$$C_{j,k,N} > c_{j,k} + \frac{1}{b_0}\left(\frac{d}{2a}\right)^{1/2}(2k+1)N,$$

and the spectrum of H^h in the interval $[A_{j,k}, B_{j,k,N}]$ where

$$A_{j,k} = (2k+1)b_0 + (2j+1)(2k+1)^{1/2}a^{1/2}h^{3/2} + h^2 c_{j,k}$$

and

$$B_{j,k,N} = (2k+1)b_0 + (2j+1)(2k+1)^{1/2}a^{1/2}h^{3/2} + h^2 C_{j,k,N}\,,$$

has at least N gaps for any $h \in]0, h_{j,k,N}]$.

Appendix A. Hermite polynomials

For a fixed $\lambda > 0$, put

$$h_m(t) = \lambda^{1/2} H_m(\lambda^{1/2} t) e^{-\lambda t^2/2}\,.$$

Here we gather some well-known formulae, concerning to the Hermite functions h_m, which we need in the paper:

$$\begin{aligned}
th_m(t) =& \frac{1}{2\lambda^{1/2}}[h_{m+1}(t) + 2mh_{m-1}(t)]\,.\\
t^2h_m(t) =& \frac{1}{4\lambda}[h_{m+2}(t) + (4m+2)h_m(t) + 4m(m-1)h_{m-2}(t)]\,.\\
t^3h_m(t) =& \frac{1}{8\lambda^{3/2}}[h_{m+3}(t) + (6m+6)h_{m+1}(t) + 12m^2h_{m-1}(t)\\
&+ 8m(m-1)(m-2)h_{m-3}(t)]\,.\\
D_th_m(t) =& \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{1/2} i[h_{m+1}(t) - 2mh_{m-1}(t)]\,.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\langle (tD_t + D_t t)h_m, h_m\rangle = 0\,.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(D_t t^2 + t^2 D_t)h_m(t) = \frac{1}{4\lambda^{1/2}} i[&h_{m+3}(t) + (2m+2)h_{m+1}(t) - 4m^2h_{m-1}(t)\\
&- 8m(m-1)(m-2)h_{m-3}(t)]\,.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&(t^4D_t + D_t t^4)h_m(t)\\
&\quad = \frac{1}{16\lambda^{3/2}} i[h_{m+5}(t) + (6m+12)h_{m+3}(t) + 4(2m^2+4m+3)h_{m+1}(t)\\
&\qquad - 8(2m^2+1)mh_{m-1}(t) - 48m(m-1)^2(m-2)h_{m-3}(t)\\
&\qquad - 32m(m-1)(m-2)(m-3)(m-4)h_{m-5}(t)]\,.
\end{aligned}$$

References

[1] Y. Avron, I. Herbst, and B. Simon, Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields I, *Duke Math. J.* **45** (1978), 847-883.

[2] M. Dimassi and J. Sjöstrand, *Spectral Asymptotics in the semi-classical limit*, London Mathematical Society. Lecture Note Series 268. Cambridge University Press, 1999.

[3] N. Dombrowski and N. Raymond, Semi-classical limit for vanishing magnetic fields, preprint 2012.

[4] S. Fournais and B. Helffer, *Spectral methods in surface superconductivity*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 77. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2010.

[5] B. Helffer. *Introduction to the semiclassical analysis for the Schrödinger operator and applications*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1336, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[6] B. Helffer and Yu. A. Kordyukov. The periodic magnetic Schrödinger operators: spectral gaps and tunneling effect (Russian), *Trudy Matematicheskogo Instituta Imeni V.A. Steklova*, **261** (2008), 176-187; translation in *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, **261** (2008), 171-182.

[7] B. Helffer and Yu. A. Kordyukov, Spectral gaps for periodic Schrödinger operators with hypersurface magnetic wells, in *Mathematical results in quantum mechanics, Proceedings of the QMath10 Conference Moieciu, Romania 10 - 15 September 2007*, World Sci. Publ., Singapore, 2008, pp. 137-154.

[8] B. Helffer and Yu. A. Kordyukov, Semiclasical analysis of Schrödinger operators with magnetic wells, in *Spectral and Scattering Theory for Quantum Magnetic Systems*, Contemp. Math. **500**, AMS, Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 105-122.

[9] B. Helffer and Yu. A. Kordyukov, Spectral gaps for periodic Schrödinger operators with hypersurface magnetic wells: analysis near the bottom, *J. Funct. Anal.* **257** (2009), 3043-3081.

[10] B. Helffer and Yu. A. Kordyukov Semiclassical spectral asymptotics for a two-dimensional magnetic Schrödinger operator: The case of discrete wells, *Spectral Theory and Geometric Analysis*, Contemp. Math. **535**, AMS, Providence, RI, 2011, p. 55-78.

[11] B. Helffer and Yu. A. Kordyukov, Semiclassical spectral asymptotics for a two-dimensional magnetic Schrödinger operator II: The case of degenerate wells, to appear in *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, preprint arXiv:1103.4326.

[12] B. Helffer and A. Mohamed, Semiclassical analysis for the ground state energy of a Schrödinger operator with magnetic wells, *J. Funct. Anal.* **138** (1996), 40-81.

[13] B. Helffer and A. Morame, Magnetic bottles in connection with superconductivity, *J. Funct. Anal.* **185** (2001), 604-680. See erratum in http://www.math.u-psud.fr/∼helffer/erratum164II.pdf

[14] R. Montgomery, Hearing the zero locus of a magnetic field, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **168** (1995), 651-675.

[15] X.-B. Pan and K.-H. Kwek, Schrödinger operators with non-degenerately vanishing magnetic fields in bounded domains, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **354** (2002), 4201-4227.

Département de Mathématiques, Bâtiment 425, Univ Paris-Sud et CNRS, F-91405 Orsay Cédex, France

E-mail address: `Bernard.Helffer@math.u-psud.fr`

Institute of Mathematics, Russian Academy of Sciences, 112 Chernyshevsky str. 450008 Ufa, Russia

E-mail address: `yurikor@matem.anrb.ru`